

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,209	SAKANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia Leith	1655	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia Leith.

(2) Gregory Butler.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 October 2006

Time: approx 1pm EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

94, 108, 109, 119 and 120

Prior art documents discussed:


none


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the Examiner's amendment containing the proposed claim amendments. Mr. Butler agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's amendment in order to place the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)